I started the following petition on the UK Parliament site on 4 April 2021

PETITION (max 80)

Investigate the claim that Chlorine Dioxide can cure COVID-19 infected patients

Background (max 300)

Fast track clinical trials, as in the Recovery Trial (https://www.ndph.ox.ac.uk/longer-reads/how-to-set-up-a-trial-in-nine-days), to test the claim that Chlorine Dioxide (ClO₂) can save lives when used as a prophylactic and early treatment against fast mutating viruses, such as COVID-19.

WHY? (max 500)

Time graphs on the WHO site https://covid19.who.int/ show a sharp drop in daily deaths after the use of CIO₂ by over 3000 COMUSAV doctors in 20 countries, especially in Bolivia and Ecuador.

The daily deaths per million residents were much greater in the UK.

US clinical trials reported safe ClO_2 doses in 1981. But, the FDA issued warnings misrepresenting ClO_2 as bleach (NaClO). Given the WHO data, the UK should independently investigate if ClO_2 can save lives, businesses and the UK economy.

OUTCOME: rejection on 12 April 2021

We rejected your petition "Investigate the claim that Chlorine Dioxide can cure COVID-19infected patients"

From: Petitions: UK Government and Parliament (no-reply@petition.parliament.uk)

Date: Monday, 12 April 2021, 09:38 BST

Sorry, we can't accept your petition – "Investigate the claim that Chlorine Dioxide can cureCOVID-19 infected patients".

It included confidential, libellous, false, unproven or defamatory information, or a reference to a case where there are active legal proceedings.

We can't publish petitions that include false or unproven statements that could be misleading about public health. This includes claims about the potential efficacy of treatments for Covid-19 which have not been reviewed by the UK's independent medicines regulator, the Medicines and Healthcare products Regulatory Agency (MHRA). We also can't accept your petition because the UK Government and Parliament aren't responsible for deciding which medical products should be used to prevent and/or treat Covid-19. This would be a clinical decision for the Medicines and Healthcare products Regulatory Agency and the NHS, not the UK Government or Parliament.

We only reject petitions that don't meet the petition standards:

https://petition.parliament.uk/help#standards

If you want to try again, click here to start a petition: https://petition.parliament.uk/petitions/check

Thanks,
The Petitions team
UK Government and Parliament

13 June 2021 To this date I have had no reply to the following question:

Why were the petitions on Ivermectin not hidden when WHO/NICE etc. claim that there is no evidence of its effectiveness against COVID?

There seems to be some disparity in the way petitions are being published. The simple truth is that they do not wish people to know that ClO2 may be effective. I have personal evidence that ClO2 is capable of resolving clots — very weak doses taken on an empty stomach, cleared my varicosed vein and the consultant has recorded that my wet AMD has spontaneously recovered in both eyes and that I now have dry AMD without any medical treatment (see <u>my testimony</u>).